



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/624,323	07/22/2003	Thomas M. Williams JR.	P-6185-04-03	7301

23983 7590 09/23/2004

MILLS LAW FIRM, PLLC
P.O BOX 1245
Cary, NC 27512-1245

EXAMINER


ROYAL, PAUL

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

3611

DATE MAILED: 09/23/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/624,323	WILLIAMS, THOMAS M. 	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Paul Royal	3611	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
 - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
 - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
 - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 July 2003.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☒ Claim(s) 1 and 2 is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 3, 4 and 11 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) 5-10 is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 22 July 2003 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☒ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|---|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(4) because reference character "76" has been used to designate both a "fastener" and "a spring assembly", see Figure 3, and Specification page 6, line 2 and line 24.

Further, in Figure 3, element 78 does not appear to be a "compression spring 78 surrounding a threaded rod 80 having a hook end 82 captured by the shank fastener 50", as stated in the Specification at page 6, lines 24-26.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claim 11 recites the limitation "said indicator member" in line 1. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. As best understood, applicant is referring to the indicator member 19 introduced in claim 10.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

1. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Smith (US 5,037,123).

Smith teaches an automatic trailer hitch assembly, usable for coupling an aircraft tug to a tow bar, the tug having a hitch at the rear including upper (31) and lower (33) plates vertically spaced at a rearwardly opening slot for receiving the eyelet (15) of the tow bar wherein the plates have coaxially aligned openings (35,43) and the eyelet of the tow bar has an aperture (15), the hitch assembly comprising:

a locking assembly (55) fixedly connected to the upper plate (31);

Art Unit: 3611

a lock member (36) slidably supported on the locking assembly (55) in coaxial alignment with the opening in the plates and freely slidable between a lowered locked position extending through the openings in the plates and a raised unlatched position spaced above the slot;

lifting means (61) coacting with the lock member (36) for moving the lock member from the locked position and the unlocked position;

detent means (41, 42) coacting with the lock member for releasably maintaining lock member in the unlocked position;

a pair of laterally spaced inwardly converging guide members (50) carried on the hitch between the plates defining a receiving pocket aligning the eyelet with the openings in the plates and the aperture in the eyelet thereby permitting movement of the lock member from the unlocked position to the locked position to couple the tow bar to the tug.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Smith as applied to claim 3, in view of Schmiesing (US 3,889,979).

Smith, as applied to claim 3, teaches the claimed limitations except wherein the locking assembly includes a cylinder having a bore coaxially with the

Art Unit: 3611

openings in the plate, and the lock member is coaxially attached to a piston slidable in the bore.

Schmiesing teaches an automatic hitching device including wherein the locking assembly includes a cylinder (29) having a bore coaxially with the openings in a plate (14), and the lock member is coaxially attached to a piston (20) slidable in the bore to provide a hitch mechanism which is adaptable to a wide variety of applications.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the automatic trailer hitch assembly of Smith, as applied to claim 3, to include wherein the locking assembly includes a cylinder having a bore coaxially with the openings in the plate, and the lock member is coaxially attached to a piston slidable in the bore, as taught by Schmiesing, to provide a hitch mechanism which is adaptable to a wide variety of applications.

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 1-2 are allowed.
4. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: the prior art does not show a hitch assembly as recited in the independent claim and as applicable to the dependent claims having a vertical slot formed in the cylinder, a transverse pin member attached to the piston and extending outwardly through the vertical slot, a notch in the upper portion of said cylinder adjacent the vertical slot, and means for rotating the piston and positioning said pin member at the notch to establish said locked position.

5. Claims 5-7 and 8-10 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

For claims 5-7, the prior art does not show a hitch assembly as recited in the independent claim and as applicable to the dependent claims having a vertical slot formed in the cylinder, a transverse pin member attached to the piston and extending outwardly through the vertical slot, a notch in the upper portion of said cylinder adjacent the vertical slot, and means for rotating the piston and positioning said pin member at the notch to establish said locked position.

For claims 8-10, the prior art does not show a hitch assembly as recited in the independent claim and as applicable to the dependent claims wherein the guide members are pivotally supported at inner ends to the locking assembly and spring means for biasing the guide members to a normal position establishing the pocket, permitting resilient deflection from the normal position upon impact by the eyelet of the tow bar sufficient to permit the tow bar to orient transverse to the the to accommodate tight radius turns.

Art Unit: 3611

6. Claim 11 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Blazer teaches a vehicle coupling. Cain teaches a coupling and support means. Smith '390 teaches a tractor hitch. Wade teaches a hitch assembly. Paterson teaches a self-coupling hitch. Stallings, Jr. et al. teaches a hitch for trailers.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Paul Royal whose telephone number is 703-308-8570. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lesley D. Morris can be reached on 703-308-0629. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3611

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).



P. Royal
9/19/04

Paul Royal
Examiner
Art Unit 3611



LESLEY D. MORRIS
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600